NOT-Gated CD93 CAR T Cells Effectively Target AML with Minimized Endothelial Cross-Reactivity
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Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells hold promise for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML), but optimal targets remain to be defined. We demonstrate that CD93 CAR T cells engineered from a novel humanized CD93-specific binder potently kill AML in vitro and in vivo but spare hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPC). No toxicity is seen in murine models, but CD93 is expressed on human endothelial cells, and CD93 CAR T cells recognize and kill endothelial cell lines. We identify other AML CAR T-cell targets with overlapping expression on endothelial cells, especially in the context of proinflammatory cytokines. To address the challenge of endothelial-specific cross-reactivity, we provide proof of concept for NOT-gated CD93 CAR T cells that circumvent endothelial cell toxicity in a relevant model system. We also identify candidates for combinatorial targeting by profiling the transcriptome of AML and endothelial cells at baseline and after exposure to proinflammatory cytokines.

**SIGNIFICANCE:** CD93 CAR T cells eliminate AML and spare HSPCs but exert on-target, off-tumor toxicity to endothelial cells. We show coexpression of other AML targets on endothelial cells, introduce a novel NOT-gated strategy to mitigate endothelial toxicity, and demonstrate use of high-dimensional transcriptomic profiling for rational design of combinatorial immunotherapies.

See related commentary by Velasquez and Gottschalk, p. 559.

### INTRODUCTION

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is the most prevalent acute leukemia in the United States, accounting for more than 11,000 deaths each year, with a 5-year overall survival rate of less than 30% (1). Molecular analysis has accelerated understanding of the genetic diversity of AML and resulted in the approval of numerous molecularly targeted agents, including a Bcl-2 inhibitor (venetoclax), epigenetic modifiers of IDH1/2 (enasidenib, ivosidenib), FLT3 inhibitors (midostaurin, gilteritinib), and a Hedgehog inhibitor (gladegib; ref. 2). Gemtuzumab ozogamycin, a CD33-directed antibody–drug conjugate, is the only immunotherapy agent currently approved for use in AML (3, 4). Despite these emerging therapies, relapse rates, morbidity, and overall mortality in patients with AML remain high and novel therapies are needed to improve survival and decrease toxicity.

CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy has greatly expanded therapeutic options for patients with chemotherapy-resistant B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL; refs. 5–12), and these results have prompted intense interest in developing CAR T cells for other hematologic malignancies, including AML. CAR T cells are cytotoxic lymphocytes engineered to express a receptor that combines a tumor antigen recognition domain, normally provided grade 4 capillary leak syndrome, resulting in one patient reported. The first two patients on a CD123 CAR trial developed grade 3–4 cytokine release syndrome, resulting in one patient.
death and termination of the study (40). Expression of CD123 on endothelial cells may render them susceptible to on-target, off-tumor toxicity (41), a factor that could have contributed to these clinical outcomes. These reports underscore the importance of extending preclinical studies of normal tissue toxicity for new myeloid targets beyond the hematopoietic system.

CD93 is a cell surface lectin that is highly expressed at diagnosis and relapse in a sizable fraction of AML cases (42). In addition, CD93 has been implicated in leukemogenesis and maintenance of a cycling, nonquiescent population of leukemia stem cells in MLL-rearranged (MLLr) AML (43). Here, we engineered a second-generation CAR incorporating a novel CD93-specific scFv, which demonstrated leukemic clearance in preclinical xenograft models and induced limited hematopoietic toxicity. CD93 is largely absent from nonhematopoietic tissues. However, IHC and single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) revealed expression in endothelial cells, and we observed targeting of endothelial cell lines with the CD93 CAR. In the same scRNA-seq datasets, CD123 expression mirrored CD93 on endothelial cell subsets, and endothelial cells exposed to inflammatory cytokines expressed both CD123 and CD38, indicating that a risk for endothelial cell toxicity may be significant for AML targets beyond CD93. To address the problem of shared antigen expression between AML and endothelial cells, we employ a NOT-gate strategy and demonstrate mitigation of endothelial cell toxicity by the CD93 CAR. Finally, we implement bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to more broadly characterize the AML and endothelial surfaceome to provide an inventory of antigens with suitable expression profiles for single or combinatorial targeted AML immunotherapies.

RESULTS

CD93 Is a Novel Cell Surface Target in AML

We sought to investigate whether CD93 is a suitable target for CAR-based immunotherapy of human AML. Using flow cytometry, we detected CD93 expression on the majority of primary AML samples, often uniformly and at high levels, including on both MLLr AML and non-MLLr AML (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Fig. S1). CD93 is not expressed on hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) or any myeloid progenitor populations (Fig. 1B) but is expressed on mature myeloid cells, including neutrophils and monocytes. CD93 is absent on lymphocytes, red blood cells, and platelets (Fig. 1C). The absence of expression on hematopoietic progenitors distinguishes CD93 from many previously studied AML targets, including CD33, which is expressed on myeloid progenitors but not on HSCs (44–46), and CD123, which is expressed broadly on myeloid and lymphoid progenitors and on HSCs (13, 47, 48).

CD93 CAR T Cells Mediate Antigen-Specific Effector Function and Cytotoxicity In Vitro against AML Targets

To redirect T-cell specificity against CD93-expressing AML cells, we generated retroviral vectors encoding CD93 CARs that incorporated a CD93-specific scFv derived from a humanized chimeric antibody (F11) developed in our lab (Supplementary Fig. S2). Second-generation CARs were constructed using codon-optimized sequences encoding the F11 scFv at the N-terminus with light and heavy chains connected through a (G₃S)₄ linker, and fused to either a CD28 hinge-transmembrane, CD28 costimulatory endodomain and CD3ζ (CD93–28ζ), or to a CD8α hinge-transmembrane, 4-1BB costimulatory endodomain and CD3ζ (CD93–BBζ; Fig. 2A). Primary T cells activated and transduced with CD93–28ζ or CD93–BBζ CAR expanded 30- to 50-fold in culture with consistent CAR transduction efficiency of >75% and with comparable mean fluorescence intensity (MFI; Supplementary Fig. S3A–S3C). Similar to previous reports (49), T-cell exhaustion markers PD-1 and TIM-3 were higher in CD93–28ζ CAR T cells compared with CD93–BBζ CAR T cells (Supplementary Fig. S3D).

To evaluate CD93 CAR T-cell function in vitro, we analyzed cytokine production and cytotoxicity after coculture with AML cell lines with varying levels of CD93 (Fig. 2B). Of note, the orientation of the light and heavy chain of the scFv did not impact CAR T-cell efficacy in vitro (Supplementary Fig. S4A–S4D). CD93 CAR T cells produced minimal cytokines at baseline but secreted IFNγ and IL2 upon recognition of CD93-expressing AML cells, in contrast to mock-transduced T cells (Fig. 2C). Similar to previous reports emphasizing the importance of target antigen density (50–56), cytokine production was directly proportional to the intensity of CD93 staining on the surface of AML cells (Fig. 2D; Supplementary Fig. S4E). CD93 CAR T cells also killed AML cells stably expressing GFP in an IncuCyte cytotoxicity assay (Fig. 2E).

CD93 CAR T Cells Exert Potent Antileukemic Effect In Vivo in Cell Lines and Patient-Derived Xenograft Murine Models

We next evaluated the in vivo efficacy of CD93 CAR T cells in two murine xenograft models of human AML. NOD/SCID/IL2Rγ−/− (NSG) mice were sublethally irradiated and engrafted with luciferase-expressing THP-1 cells. Once engraftment was established by bioluminescent imaging (BLI), the mice were treated with a single dose of mock-transduced, CD93–28ζ, or CD93–BBζ CAR T cells and then monitored by weekly BLI as a surrogate measurement of AML burden (Fig. 3A). Leukemic burden of mice treated with either CD93–28ζ or CD93–BBζ CAR T cells decreased within 1 week of treatment compared with mice treated with mock T cells, a difference that persisted for the duration of the experiment (Fig. 3B; Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B). We observed increased leukemic clearance in CD93–28ζ CAR–treated mice, which correlated with greater concentration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells isolated from peripheral blood at day 14 after CAR T-cell treatment, supporting the importance of in vivo T-cell expansion for leukemic clearance (Fig. 3C).

To expand our studies to primary human leukemia samples, we tested CD93 CAR T cells in NSG mice sublethally irradiated and injected with primary AML cells (Fig. 3D), which expressed uniformly high levels of CD93 (Fig. 3E). The mice were monitored for leukemic engraftment by serial bone marrow aspirate (BMA) and treated with mock-transduced or CD93 CAR T cells once human leukemia cells comprised on average at least 10% of the cells isolated from the bone marrow (BM). Of note, engraftment levels at time of treatment were variable and some mice had near complete BM invasion by human AML. Regardless of level of engraftment, all mice treated with CD93 CAR T cells experienced full remission measured by flow cytometry from the BM by...
4 weeks and the majority of the mice had no leukemia detectable through 13 weeks after CAR treatment (Fig. 3F). All mice receiving mock-transduced T cells succumbed to disease (Fig. 3G). There was no significant difference among the groups in T-cell persistence at any time point, and all but one CD93 CAR–treated mouse had T-cell persistence through 13 weeks (Fig. 3H). BMA at 1 week after CAR T-cell injection revealed massive cell death in the CD93 CAR–treated groups, precluding analysis of leukemic burden at early time points in these groups (Supplementary Fig. S5C). The mice had minimal systemic toxicity during this time and recovered quickly. A complete blood count 2 weeks after CAR T-cell treatment demonstrated normal hematologic parameters in the CD93 CAR–treated groups compared with leukocytosis, thrombocytopenia, and anemia in the mock-treated group (Supplementary Fig. S5D). One mouse in the CD93–28z- and two in the CD93–BBz-treated groups developed detectable leukemia at later time points, and relapses were driven by CD93-positive cells (Supplementary Fig. S6).

**CD93 CAR T Cells Do Not Disrupt Hematopoietic Progenitor Viability or Function**

Most AML CAR targets under development are expressed to some extent on normal hematopoietic cells, which is predicted to impact their respective toxicity profiles. Because CD93 expression within the normal hematopoietic compartment is
limited to mature myeloid cells (Fig. 1C and D), we hypothesized that CD93 CAR T cells would have minimal impact on hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell (HSPC) viability or function. Indeed, CD93 CAR T cells did not secrete cytokines following exposure to cord blood–derived CD34+ cells, which comprise a variety of HSPC populations (Fig. 4A). To further probe the impact of CD93 CAR T cells on HSPC proliferation and differentiation, cord blood–derived CD34+ cells were untreated or exposed to mock-transduced or CD93 CAR T cells and assayed for colony-forming ability. There was no difference among the erythroid (CFU-E) or granulocyte–macrophage (CFU-G/M/GM) groups (Fig. 4B). Flow cytometric analysis of HSPC subsets after exposure to CD93 CAR T cells confirmed that the proportion of progenitor cells within each subset, whether segregated by CD34/CD38+ or CD34/CD38– cells (Fig. 4C) or more specifically by HSCs, common myeloid progenitors, and GM progenitors, was not altered after exposure to CD93 CAR T cells (Fig. 4D; Supplementary Fig. S7). Together these data support that HSPCs are spared from CD93 CAR T-cell toxicity.

Figure 2. CD93 CAR T cells exert antileukemic effects against AML in vitro. A, Structure of CD93–28z and CD93–BBz CARs. From N- to C-terminus, CD93 CARs were designed with Vh and Vl of the CD93 specific F11 scFv connected via a (G4S)3 linker, CD28 or 4-1BB costimulatory domain, and CD3ζ activation domain. TM, transmembrane. B, CD93 expression on AML cell lines was measured by flow cytometry after staining with biotinylated F11 scFv, followed by streptavidin–APC. C, Mock-transduced, CD93–28z, or CD93–BBz CAR T cells were incubated at a 1:1 effector-to-target (E:T) ratio for 24 hours, and cytokine production was measured in the supernatant by ELISA (unpaired t test, summary data of experiments from three donors). IFNγ secretion: mock versus CD93–28z and CD93–BBz in NOMO-1, OCI-AML3, and THP-1, \( P < 0.0001 \); \( P = 0.0017 \) in MOLM-13. IL2 secretion: mock versus CD93–BBz in MOLM-13, \( P = 0.0338 \); mock versus CD93–28z in NOMO-1, \( P = 0.0027 \); mock versus CD93–BBz in NOMO-1, \( P = 0.0002 \); mock versus CD93–BBz in Kasumi-1, \( P = 0.0011 \); mock versus CD93–28z in OCI-AML3, \( P = 0.0015 \); mock versus CD93–BBz in OCI-AML3, \( P = 0.0063 \); mock versus CD93–28z in THP-1, \( P = 0.0001 \); and CD93–28z versus CD93–BBz in THP-1, \( P = 0.0017 \). D, IL2 production of CD93 CAR T cells correlates directly to MFI of CD93 on various AML cell lines, normalized to HEL-2, an AML cell line with low CD93 expression that does not induce cytokine production; * denotes statistical significance: \( P = 0.0289 \) for CD93–28z and \( P = 0.0650 \) for CD93–BBz (linear regression analysis). E, Mock-transduced, CD93–28z, or CD93–BBz CAR T cells were cocultured with AML cells stably expressing GFP at a 1:1 E:T ratio, and GFP expression was measured in an IncuCyte assay for 72 hours; \( P < 0.0001 \) for mock versus CD93 CAR for each cell line (two-way ANOVA, summary data from experiments from \( n = 2 \) donors for Kasumi-1 and \( n = 3 \) donors for OCI-AML3 and THP-1).
Figure 3. CD93 CAR T cells demonstrate antileukemic activity in vivo in murine xenograft models. A, Schematic of the THP-1 xenograft model. NSG mice were sublethally irradiated (200 cGy) on day 7 and then injected via tail vein on day 6 with THP-1 stably expressing GFP/luciferase. BLI was performed on day 0 to quantify engraftment to randomize the treatment groups (n = 5 per group), and then mice were injected via tail vein with mock-transduced, CD93–28z, or CD93–BBz CAR T cells. BLI was measured weekly to quantify AML burden. Peripheral blood was collected at day 14 to measure CAR T-cell expansion.

B, Average BLI for THP-1–engrafted mice with leukemic progression in those treated with mock-transduced CAR T cells (black), and leukemic control in those treated with CD93–28z (blue) or CD93–BBz (purple) CAR T cells; P < 0.0001 at weeks 2 and 3 post-CAR (two-way ANOVA, data include experiments with n = 2 donors).

C, Measurement of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell expansion at 2 weeks post–CAR T-cell injection. CD4+ and CD8+ total T cells/µL were measured in the peripheral blood by flow cytometry after red blood cell lysis (unpaired t test). Comparing CD4+ CD93–28z versus CD93–BBz, P = 0.0070; comparing CD8+ CD93–28z versus CD93–BBz, P = 0.0202.

D, Schematic of a patient-derived xenograft model. NSG mice were sublethally irradiated (200 cGy) 1 day prior to injection via tail vein of SU555 primary patient-derived AML. Leukemic engraftment was evaluated by BMA every 3 to 4 weeks until 20 weeks after engraftment when AML percentage within the BM was at least 10% on average among the mice in each group randomized to receive either mock-transduced (n = 17), CD93–28z (n = 15), or CD93–BBz (n = 20) CAR T cells. CAR T cells were injected via tail vein, BMA was done 1 week and every 3 to 4 weeks (q3–4 weeks) thereafter, and leukemic clearance and T-cell expansion and persistence were monitored.

E, CD93 expression of primary patient AML sample SU555 (blue) compared with isotype (red). F, AML clearance was monitored by flow cytometry of BMA at 1 week and every 3 to 4 weeks after CAR T-cell injection (unpaired t test). G, Survival of mice until 100 days after injection (log-rank Mantel–Cox test). H, T-cell persistence measured from BMA as described in F. Experiments in F–H include summary data from n = 3 donors. PB, peripheral blood; XRT, radiation.
**Figure 4.** CD93 CAR T cells do not affect hematopoietic progenitor viability or regenerative capacity. **A,** Mock-transduced, CD93–28z, or CD93–BBz CAR T cells were incubated alone, with THP-1 cells as a positive control, or with two separate cord blood (CB) samples after CD34+ selection. Supernatant was collected at 18 hours, and cytokine production (IFNγ and IL2) was measured by ELISA (unpaired t test, data representative of experiments using n = 2 donors). *P* < 0.0001 for all differences noted between mock and CD93–28z or CD93–BBz when cocultured with THP-1 cells; *P* = 0.0073 in mock versus CD93–28z (IFNγ) and *P* = 0.0133 in mock versus CD93–BBZ (IFNγ) when cocultured with cord blood #1. **B,** CD34+ cells were sorted after coculture with mock-transduced, CD93–28z, or CD93–BBz CAR T cells, and then suspended in methylcellulose and incubated for 14 days. CFU-G/M/GM and CFU/E colonies from CAR-treated samples were quantified and compared with CD34+ cells not exposed to T cells. Graph represents normalization to mock averaged over two cord blood samples. **C** and **D,** After an 18-hour coculture of CAR T cells and cord blood–derived CD34+ cells, flow cytometry was used to quantify the proportion of CD34+ cell subsets, either separated by CD38+ (black)/CD38− (gray; **C**) or proportions of HSCs (dark blue), common myeloid progenitors (CMP; green), or granulocyte–monocyte progenitors (GMP; light blue; gating strategy detailed in Supplementary Fig. S6; **D**).

**CD93 Is Expressed on Endothelial Cells with a Similar Expression Pattern to CD123**

CD93 expression on normal tissue was evaluated by IHC of a tissue microarray. H-scores for all tissues analyzed were <100 (Supplementary Fig. S8A), which is generally accepted as low or no expression (57). Despite low overall expression in normal tissues, strong staining was noted in endothelial cells throughout multiple organ systems (Fig. 5A). Analysis of bulk transcriptional data can mask even substantial expression of a target like CD93 on endothelial cells, which comprise only a fraction of cells within any given organ. Endothelial expression and susceptibility to CAR T-cell targeting have been considered for other AML targets, most notably CD123 (40, 41), so we assessed baseline endothelial expression of CD93 and CD123 by interrogating an scRNA-seq atlas of healthy lung and paired peripheral blood (Fig. 5B; ref. 58). Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) clustering defined by gene expression profiles resulted in 17 distinct cell populations (Fig. 5C). Hematopoietic cells, defined broadly by PTPRC (CD45), can be found in many clusters, whereas the monocyte marker CD14 and the T-lymphocyte marker CD3D have more restricted expression patterns (Fig. 5D). CLDN5, a pan-endothelial marker (59), is expressed highly in clusters 1, 5, and 13, whereas VWF, EDNRB, and CCL21 likely define stalk-like, tip-like, and high endothelial venules, respectively (Fig. 5E; refs. 60, 61). CD93 and IL3RA (CD123) are each expressed in a subset of
Figure 5. CD93 and CD123 are expressed on normal myeloid and endothelial cells. A, IHC using CD93 antibody on a tissue microarray with a panel of normal tissues (magnification equivalent to 20x; insets highlight endothelial cell staining). UMAP projection of single cells, colored either by patient sample (B) or Leiden gene expression cluster (C). D, UMAP of single cells, colored by expression of hematopoietic marker genes: PTPRC (CD45) is expressed primarily within clusters 0, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, and 15; CD14 is expressed primarily within clusters 0, 1, 5, 6, and 8; and CD3D is expressed in cluster 2. E, UMAP projection of single cells, colored by expression of endothelial marker genes marking different endothelial subsets: CLDN5 is expressed in all endothelial clusters (1, 5, and 13); VWF is expressed in stalk-like endothelial cells (cluster 1); EDNRB is expressed in a cluster that contains tip-like endothelial cells (cluster 5); and CCL21 is expressed only in a cluster that represents endothelial venules (cluster 13). F, UMAP of single cells, colored by expression of CD93 and IL3RA (CD123) demonstrated expression in endothelial subclusters (most highly expressed in cluster 1). G, Violin plots displaying the expression level of tissue-specific markers representative of each cluster.
myeloid CD14+ cells as expected but are also clearly expressed in endothelial clusters (Fig. 5F). CD93 and IL3RA expression is compared with the most distinct signature genes for each cell type in a violin plot (Fig. 5G). To ensure the expression patterns are not unique to lung tissue, a similar analysis was performed on scRNA-seq data from nonmalignant pancreatic tissue confirmed clear expression of CD93 and IL3RA within the endothelial compartment despite minimal expression in other clusters (Supplementary Fig. S8B–S8G).

**On-Target, Off-Tumor Toxicity of CD93 CAR T Cells against Endothelial Cells Is Mitigated with a NOT-Gated CAR T-cell Strategy In Vitro**

Flow cytometry confirmed that CD93 expression on immortalized human umbilical vein endothelial cell (iHUVEC) and telomerase-immortalized human microvascular endothelial cell lines (TIME) was nearly as high as on the AML cell line THP-1 (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, CD93–28z CAR T cells secreted cytokines upon exposure to both iHUVEC and TIME endothelial cell lines (Fig. 6B). Although the scFv contained in the CD93 CAR studied here does not cross-react with murine CD93 and thus endothelial toxicity cannot be assessed in murine models (Supplementary Fig. S9), single-cell transcriptional analysis of the Tabula Muris database demonstrates a similar expression pattern of CD93 on murine endothelial cells (Supplementary Fig. S10).

Previous groups have demonstrated that on-target, off-tumor toxicity can be overcome with NOT-gated CAR T cells that express a second inhibitory CAR (iCAR) specific for an antigen present on healthy cells but absent on tumor cells. Given the propensity for myeloid and endothelial coexpression of target antigens, we hypothesized that a NOT-gate strategy targeting molecules expressed on endothelium, but not myeloid cells, could overcome this problem. To test this, we created an in vitro model of “on-target, off-tumor” endothelial cells to assess the specificity of iCAR-based NOT-gated CAR T cells (Fig. 6C), wherein the iHUVEC endothelial cell line was engineered to stably express a truncated CD19 (extracellular and transmembrane domain only; Fig. 6D). CD19-specific iCARs were designed to include signaling endodomains from immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM)–containing proteins, including PD-1 and TIGIT, or no intracellular signaling domain (Pdel) as a control (Fig. 6E). The NOT-gated CD93 CAR T-cell products exhibited robust expansion, high transduction efficiency, and CD4/8 ratios influenced by donor, not by the presence of the iCAR construct (Fig. 6F; Supplementary Fig. S11A–S11C). Cells that coexpressed iCARs with ITIM-containing endodomains demonstrated decreased expression of exhaustion markers PD-1 and TIM3 at baseline compared with CD93–28z (Supplementary Fig. S11D). Upon coculture with iHUVEC-19 “on-target, off-tumor” cells, NOT-gated CD93 CAR T cells secreted significantly less IFNγ and IL2 compared with CD93–28z/Pdel control. IFNγ production against the “on-target, off-tumor” AML cell line THP-1 was preserved across all constructs. Similar to previous reports (62), the PD1-based iCAR provided antigen-specific inhibition of cytokine production. The novel TIGIT-based iCAR inhibited cytokine production equally as well in endothelial cells, but this was true upon recognition of both iHUVEC and iHUVEC-19 cells, suggesting more baseline antigen-independent inhibitory signaling compared with the PD-1–based iCAR (Fig. 6G).

**Defining Endothelial- and AML-Predominant Expression at Baseline and in an Inflammatory Microenvironment Can Inform Rational Combinatorial CAR T-cell Strategy**

Previous work has demonstrated cytokine inducibility of CD123 expression on endothelial cells that may increase susceptibility to CAR T-cell toxicity (41), but dynamic expression has not been described for other AML targets. Therefore, we performed a targeted expression analysis of CD93 and other select AML targets on endothelial cell lines in the absence and presence of the proinflammatory cytokines IFNγ and TNFα. Cell surface phenotypic analysis revealed that CD123 and CD38 are not expressed highly at baseline on the TIME endothelial cell line, but increase substantially upon exposure to IFNγ and TNFα (Fig. 7A). Cytokine-driven inducible expression is both time and dose dependent (Fig. 7A and B). In contrast, CD33 is not expressed on endothelial cells under any condition. Targeted RNA transcriptional analysis reinforced these expression patterns (Fig. 7C). Pathway analysis suggests that the changes seen in CD123 and CD38 expression are linked by enhancement of the JAK–STAT signaling pathway (Supplementary Fig. S12).

Figure 6 provides proof of concept that NOT-gated CAR T cells endowed with an iCAR specific for an endothelial cell–specific antigen could overcome on-target, off-tumor toxicity of an AML CAR directed against a target also expressed on endothelial cells. However, the optimal endothelial-specific iCAR target has not been identified. To advance this objective, we implemented an unbiased transcriptional analysis of differential gene expression between endothelial and AML cells, both at baseline and in a proinflammatory microenvironement. To ensure consistency and to avoid a cell line–specific phenomenon, we performed bulk RNA-seq on two endothelial cell lines and three AML cell lines that were either untreated or incubated with cytokines for 24 hours. Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed very little transcriptional variance between the two endothelial cell lines, which formed a grouping distinct from the AML cells. Each AML cell line under all treatment conditions clustered as a group divergent from the others, as would be predicted from their inherent genetic variability (Fig. 7D). When filtering by cell surface designation, genes can be grouped into 11 clusters that emphasize differences between endothelial cells and AML cells, in untreated or in cytokine-treated conditions (Fig. 7E). On the basis of initial filtering parameters, we identified 232 candidate targets for an iCAR-based NOT-gate, which include the well-recognized endothelial cell surface molecules PECAM1 and TIE1 (Fig. 7F). More work will be necessary to validate these as candidate iCAR targets, including verification of preferential endothelial cell surface protein expression compared with AML, confirming lack of expression across a variety of other normal tissues, and identifying available scFv sequences from which to generate iCARs. Ultimately, this strategy could result in an effective and specific NOT-gate platform that could allow for translation of CAR T cells with strong reactivity toward AML-expressed antigens, such as CD93 and CD123, while avoiding endothelial cross-reactivity.
Figure 6. On-target, off-tumor toxicity of CD93 CAR T cells to endothelial cells can be mitigated with an inhibitory CAR (iCAR)-based NOT-gate strategy. A, Flow cytometric analysis of CD93 expression on endothelial cell lines (iHUVEC and TIME) and an AML cell line (THP-1) compared with fluorescence minus one (FMO) negative control. Comparing CD93-28z IFNγ production against cell lines, $P = 0.4940$ for iHUVEC versus TIME, $P = 0.0107$ for iHUVEC versus THP-1, and $P = 0.0005$ for TIME versus THP-1. Comparing CD93-28z IL2 production against cell lines, $P = 0.0037$ for iHUVEC versus TIME, $P = 0.0010$ for iHUVEC versus THP-1, and $P = 0.0001$ for TIME versus THP-1. B, Cytokine secretion by CD93-28z CAR T cells when exposed to endothelial cell lines (iHUVEC and TIME) at a 1:1 effector-to-target ratio. The THP-1 AML cell line is included as a positive control (two-way ANOVA, summary data include experiments from $n = 4$ donors). C, Schematic of a NOT-gated CAR T-cell system. D, A truncated version of CD19 (extracellular and transmembrane domains) was stably expressed on iHUVECs to generate iHUVEC-19 cells that represent “on-target, off-tumor” cells. Expression of CD93 and CD19 on iHUVEC-19 (orange), iHUVEC (gray), and THP-1 (red) is shown. E, Detailed schematic of a CD93-28z aCAR and an iCAR engineered with a CD19-specific scFv, CD8α transmembrane domain, and an endodomain derived from inhibitory receptors with ITIMs, including PD-1 and TIGIT. A construct with no intracellular signaling domain (Pdel) serves as a control. F, Summary of cotransduction efficiencies of NOT-gated CARs compared with controls. Dbl neg, double negative; Dbl pos, double positive. G, ELISA of supernatant collected after coculture of THP-1, iHUVEC, or iHUVEC-19 with mock-transduced T cells, CD93-28z aCAR T cells only, or NOT-gated CAR T cells; results represented as fold change compared with Pdel control (unpaired t test, summary data include experiments from $n = 2$ donors). In iHUVEC cell line IFNγ, $P = 0.000596$ for CD93-28z/Pdel compared to CD93-28z/TIGIT. In iHUVEC-19 for IL2, $P = 0.014340$ for CD93-28z compared to CD93-28z/Pdel. $P < 0.0001$ for all other comparisons reaching significance.
**Figure 7.** Endothelial and AML cells exhibit overlap in surface protein expression that is influenced by cytokines. **A,** TIME cells were either untreated or treated for 24 hours (red) or 48 hours (green) with IFNγ and TNFα (100 ng/mL). CD93 expression patterns on endothelial cells were compared with other common AML targets (CD123, CD38, and CD33) by flow cytometric analysis under both conditions. **B,** Quantification of endothelial surface protein expression, measured by fold MFI over untreated control. TIME cells were incubated with IFNγ and TNFα at varying doses (++++ = 100 ng/mL, ++ = 10 ng/mL, + = 1 ng/mL) at 24 hours (red) and 48 hours (green), compared with untreated and FMO as negative controls. **C,** Bulk RNA-seq analysis was performed on two endothelial cell lines (iHUVEC and TIME) that were either untreated or treated with IFNγ, TNFα, or IFNγ and TNFα at 10 ng/mL for 24 hours. Transcriptional levels for selected AML targets on endothelial cells are reported as log2TPM+1. **D,** RNA was isolated from two endothelial cell lines (iHUVEC and TIME) and three AML cell lines (THP-1, NOMO-1, and Kasumi-1) that were untreated or treated with IFNγ and TNFα (10 ng/mL). **E,** Heat map of genes that meet criteria for differential expression in AML or endothelial cells reveals clusters of genes with or without exposure to IFNγ and TNFα. Z-scores highlight differences among the cell types and treatment groups. **F,** Proposed model for gene expression analysis for rational combinatorial targeting (with NOT- or AND-gated CARs) to overcome endothelial toxicity from an AML-directed CAR. DEG, differentially expressed gene.
DISCUSSION

Outcomes remain dismal for patients with relapsed or refractory AML despite the emergence of many novel targeted therapies over the last decade. Enthusiasm for adding CAR T cells and other immunotherapies to the AML treatment arsenal is substantial, but progress has been hampered by the challenges of identifying tumor-specific antigens. Here, we identify CD93 as a novel target in AML that may have particular relevance in MLLr AML (43). We report potent antigen-specific, antileukemic activity of CD93 CAR T cells. After demonstrating antileukemic efficacy, we focused our efforts on identifying on-target, off-tumor toxicities. CD93 CAR T cells spare HSPCs, a property distinct from many other AML CARs (13-18) but may eliminate mature myeloid cells given CD93 expression on monocytes and neutrophils. The potential for prolonged neutropenia could have serious consequences for patients, and may preclude use of CD93 CAR T cells without a CAR T elimination strategy or as a lead in to HCT. We did not find significant differences in most analyses comparing CD93-28z to CD93-BBz, but if CAR T cells for AML will be used as a bridge to HCT, a CD28 costimulatory domain will likely be desirable because CD28-based CAR T cells generally expand faster and do not persist as long as those with a 4-1BB costimulatory domain (63). This “fast-on, fast-off” strategy could permit stem cell engraftment and hematologic recovery without the need for a CAR ablation strategy. However, further study is needed to understand the balance in patients with AML between immediate CAR T-cell efficacy for leukemic clearance and long-term CAR T persistence for durable leukemic control.

In physiologic and pathologic conditions, blood vessels and blood cells are inextricably linked from early in development. Endothelial and hematopoietic cells share a common precursor, termed a “hemangioblast” (64–66). Moreover, HSCs appear to promote migration and maintenance of healthy endothelial cells (67), and endothelial cells secrete signals that increase survival and proliferation of both normal and malignant myeloid cells (68, 69). Emerging data support a developmental trajectory of endothelium to hemogenic endothelium to HSCs and other myeloid progenitors, with significant overlap in essentially a continuum of gene expression (70). Thus, it is not surprising that targets developed for AML will likely often be coexpressed on endothelium, which we have shown here for CD93. Our results also raise concerns about susceptibility of endothelial cells to CAR T cells targeting CD123 and CD38, particularly in the setting of effective CARs that promote a proinflammatory microenvironment that could increase cell surface expression.

With the exception of the serious adverse events described in the UCART123 trial (40), other clinical reports of CD123 CARs have not raised serious safety issues, but this could be falsely reassuring in the absence of reliable potency (38, 39). A patient with relapsed B-ALL received CD38 CAR T cells that resulted in multisystem organ damage refractory to supportive therapy and was highly concerning for on-target, off-tumor effects (71). As more patients are treated with CD123 and CD38 CARs and antileukemic activity improves, patients will need to be monitored for signs of capillary leak syndrome or other endothelial cell toxicity. These reports encourage caution and mandate detailed characterization of on-target, off-tumor toxicity early in CAR development.

Preclinical model systems including in vivo xenografts are insufficient for analysis of on-target, off-tumor toxicity, particularly when the scFv of the CAR does not cross-react with murine tissue, as is the case with the CD93 CAR. Publicly available databases that rely on bulk RNA-seq can be useful for initial screening but may not identify a minority population of susceptible cells. High-dimensional scRNA-seq was recently used to identify a rare population of CD19-expressing mural cells in the brain, which is hypothesized to contribute to neurotoxicity seen with CD19 CAR (72). Similarly, despite low expression on bulk parenchymal tissue, CD93 and CD123 can be clearly identified on endothelial populations. Development of CAR T cells against any novel tumor target requires thorough in silico screening of normal tissues that may have potential for on-target, off-tumor toxicities. This study demonstrates the power of integrating nuanced information provided by scRNA-seq databases as part of these early analyses. However, even thorough analysis of transcriptional data cannot substitute for empiric confirmation of cell surface expression intensity and stability, and demonstration of CAR T-cell safety in relevant model systems. Finally, as a general principle, murine models have not been highly predictive of immune toxicity observed in human studies, emphasizing the importance of carefully controlled early-phase clinical trials for any novel immunotherapeutic agent.

Combinatorial targeting with the goal of circumventing CAR T-cell on-target, off-tumor toxicity against vital normal tissues is an active area of investigation and has been most highly emphasized for the development of CARs targeting solid tumors. The work presented here identifies the importance of developing logic gates for AML as well (73). Autonomous, Boolean logic gate engineering approaches to date have largely focused on AND-gated CARs, which require two antigens to be present on target cells for effective cytotoxicity. Multiple AND-gated CARs have shown promise in preclinical models of AML (74, 75) and other tumors (76–79). NOT-gated CARs represent an alternative strategy that may prove effective when a particular tissue is the source of shared antigens, such as endothelial cells and myeloid cells, as discussed here. NOT-gates target antigens selectively expressed on the cross-reactive tissue to propagate an inhibitory signal that interferes with the CAR T-cell activation signal (62). Although several groups have proposed NOT-gates as a solution to on-target, off-tumor toxicity of CAR T cells, thus far studies have been largely limited by lack of testing in tissue-specific model systems and by minimal optimization of these platforms (62, 80–83). We provide proof of principle in a relevant in vitro model system that iCAR-based NOT-gated CAR T cells can circumvent CD93 CAR-mediated endothelial cell toxicity. While further characterization and optimization of this platform is necessary, a successful NOT-gated strategy has the potential to expand the breadth of target antigens available for CAR T-cell development and clinical translation.

In addition to other well-defined parameters including tumor heterogeneity, leukemic stem cell expression, and potential for hematopoietic cell toxicity (84, 85), the RNA-seq
dataset we have generated could enable antigen selection for single and combinatorial logic-gated AML CARs with a particular focus on avoiding endothelial cell reactivity. For CAR T-cell therapy to become a more viable and widespread option in AML, development of CARs targeting cell surface proteins that have shared expression with some normal tissue seems unavoidable. Endothelial cell expression of AML targets is a particularly important consideration that requires more study and should be assessed for any new AML target.

**METHODS**

**Primary Human Samples**

AML samples were obtained according to the Administrative Panel on Human Subjects Research Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved protocols (Stanford IRB numbers 18329 and 6453) after written informed consent. Cord blood was purchased from the New York Blood Center.

**Cell Lines**

Packaging cell line 293GP, TIME cells, and human AML cell line HEL-2 were purchased from ATCC. MOLM-13, NOMO-1, and OCI-AML3 were purchased from DSMZ. THP-1 cells stably transduced with GFP-luciferase were kindly provided by Dr. Terry Fry at NIH (Bethesda, MD). hHUVECs were a gift from Dr. David Sullivan at Northwestern University (Chicago, IL). iHUVEC-19 cells were generated by transducing iHUVECs with a lentivirus containing a truncated version of CD19, including the extracellular and transmembrane domain only. Transduced cells underwent flow cytometry–based sorting on a BD FACSAria II to isolate a uniform population of CD19+ iHUVECs. All cell lines were verified by short tandem repeat analysis and confirmed to be *Mycoplasma* negative by PCR.

**Generation of CAR Constructs**

**Generation of Activated CARs.** F11-derived scFvs were codon optimized and custom synthesized by GeneArt (Invitrogen) in either light-heavy or heavy-light orientation and connected with a (G4S)3 linker sequence. The resulting product was subcloned into an MSGV1-based retroviral backbone plasmid encoding the transmembrane and intracellular domains of CD19-BBz CAR that have been described previously (49). PD-1 and TIGIT iCARS were created by subcloning codon-optimized, custom synthesized intracellular domains of PD-1 and TIGIT into the same vector, thereby replacing the 4-1BB and CD3ζ intracellular signaling domains.

**Generation of iCAR.** The Pdel iCAR was created by restriction digest deletion of intracellular 4-1BB and CD3ζ intracellular signaling domains of the CD19-BBz CAR that has been described previously (49). PD-1 and TIGIT iCARS were created by subcloning codon-optimized, custom synthesized intracellular domains of PD-1 and TIGIT into the same vector, thereby replacing the 4-1BB and CD3ζ intracellular signaling domains.

**Generation of Retroviral Supernatant**

Retroviral particles containing CD93-28z and CD93-BBz activating CARs (aCAR), or Pdel, PD-1, or TIGIT iCARS were produced by transfecting 293GP packaging cells with the corresponding CAR plasmid and an RD114 envelope plasmid DNA using Lipofectamine 2000 and harvesting supernatant at 48 and 72 hours after transfection.

**Humanized Anti-CD93 Antibody Generation**

Monoclonal mouse anti-human CD93 antibodies were generated by immunization of mice using CD93-Fc fusion protein. Hybridomas were generated using standard protocols. Hybridomas were selected, and supernatants from the resulting clones were screened by ELISA and FACS. A mouse hybridoma clone, F11, was identified to produce a mAb with specificity against human CD93. Heavy and light chain variable regions of F11 were cloned from the hybridoma using universal antibody primers. Multiple clones of each V gene product were sequenced to monitor PCR-induced errors. The nucleotide sequences of VH and VL of F11 were determined. To select human antibody frameworks (FR) to be used as templates for compliment determining region (CDR) grafting, the mouse F11 VH and VL regions were compared with those of human germline sequences. Human IGV2D-29 and IGHV1-2 subgroups were used as the bases for F11 humanization. Amino acid positions in the FR regions that differ between F11 and IGV2D-29/IGHV1-2 sequences and that may have influence in antigen binding were identified through molecular modeling.

**CAR T-cell Transduction**

 Buffy coats were purchased from Stanford Blood Center under an IRB-exempt protocol, and processed using Lymphoprep density gradient medium and SepMate-50 tubes following manufacturer's instructions. Primary human T cells were positively selected using the RosetteSep Human T cell Enrichment kit (STEMCELL Technologies), and cryopreserved at 1–2 × 10⁷ cells/vial in CryoStor CS10 cryopreservation medium (STEMCELL Technologies). Cryopreserved cells were thawed and activated the same day with CD3/CD28 Dynabeads (Gibco) at a 3:1 bead:cell ratio in T-cell media (RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 10 mmol/L HEPES, 2 mmol/L GlutaMAX, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 100 μg/ml IL2). Activated T cells were retrovirally transduced with CD93 CAR or cotransduced with CD93 CAR and CD19 iCAR on days 3 and 4 on Retronectin (Takara)-coated plates, and anti-CD3/CD28 beads were removed on day 5. Media and IL2 were changed every 2 to 3 days until day 10 or 11, when T cells were used for assays.

**Cytokine Production**

0.5–1 × 10⁶ tumor, CD34+, or endothelial cells and CAR T cells at effector-to-target (E:T) ratios between 1:4 and 2:1 were incubated at 37°C in complete RPMI (RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 10 mmol/L HEPES, 2 mmol/L GlutaMAX, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin for 18–24 hours in triplicate for each condition). Culture supernatants were collected and analyzed for IFNγ and IL2 by ELISA (BioLegend) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Incucyte Lysis Assay**

1 × 10⁶ GFP-positive tumor cells and CAR T cells at E/T ratios of 1:8 to 1:1 were cocultured in 200 μl complete RPMI at 37°C for up to 72 hours in triplicate for each condition. Plates were analyzed every 3 hours using the Incucyte ZOOM Live-Cell Analysis System (Essen Bioscience). Four images per well at 10× zoom were collected at each time point. Total integrated GFP intensity per well was measured. Values were normalized to the starting measurement and plotted over time.

**Mice**

Immunocompromised NSG mice were purchased from JAX and bred in-house. All mice were bred, housed, and treated under Stanford University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (APLAC)-approved protocols. Six- to 8-week-old mice were injected via tail vein with 1 × 10⁶ THP-1 cells stably expressing luciferase or SU55 patient-derived AML cells. Prior to CAR T-cell injection, mice were assigned to groups to equate pretreatment leukemic burden, either by luminescence values or percentage engraftment. CAR T cells were injected via tail vein at a time and dose provided in the figure legends. In the THP-1 model, leukemia progression was measured by bioluminescence using the IVIS imaging system and analyzed with Living Image software. In the patient-derived xenograft model, leukemia progression was measured by phenotypic analysis of BM aspirates,
Flow Cytometry

All samples were analyzed with an LSR Fortessa or FACSaria II (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed with FlowJo software. Fc block was used preceding staining of all myeloid cells (Clone 3070, BD Biosciences). CD93 CARs were detected with CD93-Fc chimera protein (R&D Systems), and CD19 iCARs were detected with anti-CD19 CAR idotype (86) after conjugation to DyLight 650 or DyLight 488, respectively, with an Antibody Labeling Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). T-cell phenotype was evaluated with the following antibodies: CD4-BUV359 (Clone SK3, BD Biosciences), CD8-BUV805 (Clone SK1, BD Biosciences), PD-1 (clone eBiqI05, eBioscience), Tim-3-BV510 (clone F38–2E2, BioLegend), LAG-3-PE (clone 3DS223H, eBioscience), and CD39-FTC (Clone A1, BioLegend). CD93 was detected on tumor or endothelial cells using either the F11 antibody or CD93-APC (clone AA4.1, BioLegend). Other antibodies used to phenotype AML cells and endothelial cells included CD45-PerCp/Cy5.5 (clone HI30, eBioscience), CD33-BV421 (clone 650 or Dylight 488, respectively, with an Antibody Labeling Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). CD93 CARs were detected with CD93-Fc chimera protein (R&D Systems), and data were analyzed with FlowJo software.

Methylcellulose Colony-Forming Assay

Cord blood was obtained from the New York Blood Center with written informed consent according to protocols approved by the Stem Cell Research Oversight Panel (SCRO-291). CD34+ cells were enriched from FICOLL-separated mononuclear cells with the CD34 MicroBead kit for Humans (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-046-703) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After incubation without CAR or with mock-transduced or CD93 CAR T cells for 24 hours, CD34+ cells were sorted on a FACS Aria II (BD Biosciences) and resuspended in 400 μL Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium with 4 mL MethoCult H4435 (STEMCELL Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and plated in triplicate in 6-well SmartDish (STEMCELL Technologies) plates at 1 × 104 cells per well. Plates were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO2 for 14 days. After 14 days, colonies were scored on an inverted microscope (brand, magnification) for the quantity of erythroid (BFU-E/CFU-E) or CFU-G/M/GM colonies.  

IHC and Scoring

CD93 expression was assessed using the Ventana DISCOVERY Ultra autostainer (Ventana Medical Systems). Baked and deparaffinized formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections were incubated in Tris-based buffer (CC1, Ventana) at 95°C for 64 minutes for antigen retrieval, followed by incubation at room temperature for 1 hour with CD93 primary antibody (Clone AA4.1, 1:50, Thermo Fisher) prepared in DISCOVERY Ab Diluent (Ventana). Bound antibodies were visualized with the UltraMap DAB Detection Kit (Ventana). Stained samples were digitized with Leica SCN400 scanner (Leica Microsystems) at magnification equivalent to 20×. The images were subsequently stored in the SlidePath Digital Imaging Hub (Leica Microsystems) at the Vancouver Prostate Centre. For IHC scoring, staining intensity was assigned via a four-point scale system (descriptively, 0 = no staining, 1 = low but detectable degree of staining, 2 = clearly positive cases, and 3 = strong expression) and percentage of positive cells (0%–100%) was also determined. IHC score was then calculated per sample as staining intensity multiplied by percentage of positive cells. The average H-score was calculated for each tissue type.

Analysis of scRNA-seq Data

Processed scRNA-seq data (cell by gene counts table) for human lung were downloaded from Synapse (accession number syn21041850; ref. 58). These data were analyzed using Scopy v1.4.3. First, low-quality cells containing fewer than 250 genes, fewer than 500 counts, or more than 25% mitochondrial reads were removed. Counts were depth-normalized to a sum of 10,000 per cell, and then log-transformed with a pseudocount of 1. The top 2,000 variable genes were identified with sc.pp.highly_variable_genes, and effects due to the number of genes detected per cell were regressed out. Data were scaled with max_value = 10, and PCA was performed with default settings. For sc.pp.neighbors, the parameters n_neighbors = 10, n_pcs = 50, random_state = 1 were provided, and Leiden clustering was performed with resolution = 0.1, and random_state = 1. Gene expression was then visualized on the UMAP as the log depth-normalized counts. The sc.tl.rank_genes_group function was used to identify marker genes for each cluster with method = “logreg,” which allowed classification of the endothelial subtypes present in this dataset. For violin plots, gene expression was visualized as depth-normalized counts (not log-transformed). Processed scRNA data for human pancreas were downloaded from the Genome Sequence Archive (project number PRJCA001063; ref. 87). The data were first subset to include only those samples generated from healthy (nontumor) samples, and each sample was processed using Scrapy to remove any potential doublets, with the settings min_counts = 2, min_cells = 3, min_gene_variability_pct = 85, n_prin_comps = 30, threshold = 0.2. Data were then processed in Scapy using the same workflow as above, with the exception of regressing out batch effects due to both the number of genes detected and the individual sample/patient, and using a resolution of 0.15 for Leiden clustering.

Bulk RNA-seq

Bulk RNA was isolated from three AML cell lines (Kasumi-1, NOMO-1, and THP-1) and two endothelial cell lines (iHUVEC and TIME) after 24 hours without treatment or after incubation with IFNγ and/or TNFα at 10 ng/mL. Total RNA was isolated from 2 × 106 cells using Qagen RNEasy Isolation Kit. Bulk RNA-seq was performed by Novogene using the Illumina NovaSeq6000 platform, 150-bp paired-end reads, at 18 to 34 million reads per sample. Reads were quantified using Salmon (v1.2.0; ref. 88) using the human transcriptome (GRCh38.p13, Ensembl release 99) as the reference. Transcript annotation was performed with txtema (v1.6.2; ref. 89) and differentially expressed genes were identified using DESeq2 (v1.28.1; ref. 90). The variance stabilizing transformation was applied prior to performing PCA with DESeq2. K-means clustering and heat maps were performed withheatmap (v1.0.12), and Venn diagrams were created with BioVenn.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis and PSCAN Analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed using GSEA software version 4.0.3 (Broad Institute). The “gene set” permutation type was used, and 1,000 permutations were performed. Gene collections were imported from the Molecular Signatures Database. PSCAN analysis was performed on gene promoters spanning –450 to +50 base pairs flanking the transcriptional start site (91).
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The images were subsequently stored in the SlidePath Digital Imaging Hub (Leica Microsystems) at the Vancouver Prostate Centre. For IHC scoring, staining intensity was assigned via a four-point scale system (descriptively, 0 = no staining, 1 = low but detectable degree of staining, 2 = clearly positive cases, and 3 = strong expression) and percentage of positive cells (0%–100%) was also determined. IHC score was then calculated per sample as staining intensity multiplied by percentage of positive cells. The average H-score was calculated for each tissue type.

Analysis of scRNA-seq Data

Processed scRNA-seq data (cell by gene counts table) for human lung were downloaded from Synapse (accession number syn21041850; ref. 58). These data were analyzed using Scopy v1.4.3. First, low-quality cells containing fewer than 250 genes, fewer than 500 counts, or more than 25% mitochondrial reads were removed. Counts were depth-normalized to a sum of 10,000 per cell, and then log-transformed with a pseudocount of 1. The top 2,000 variable genes were identified with sc.pp.highly_variable_genes, and effects due to the number of genes detected per cell were regressed out. Data were scaled with max_value = 10, and PCA was performed with default settings. For sc.pp.neighbors, the parameters n_neighbors = 10, n_pcs = 50, random_state = 1 were provided, and Leiden clustering was performed with resolution = 0.1, and random_state = 1. Gene expression was then visualized on the UMAP as the log depth-normalized counts. The sc.tl.rank_genes_group function was used to identify marker genes for each cluster with method = “logreg,” which allowed classification of the endothelial subtypes present in this dataset. For violin plots, gene expression was visualized as depth-normalized counts (not log-transformed). Processed scRNA data for human pancreas were downloaded from the Genome Sequence Archive (project number PRJCA001063; ref. 87). The data were first subset to include only those samples generated from healthy (nontumor) samples, and each sample was processed using Scrapy to remove any potential doublets, with the settings min_counts = 2, min_cells = 3, min_gene_variability_pct = 85, n_prin_comps = 30, threshold = 0.2. Data were then processed in Scany using the same workflow as above, with the exception of regressing out batch effects due to both the number of genes detected and the individual sample/patient, and using a resolution of 0.15 for Leiden clustering.

Bulk RNA-seq

Bulk RNA was isolated from three AML cell lines (Kasumi-1, NOMO-1, and THP-1) and two endothelial cell lines (iHUVEC and TIME) after 24 hours without treatment or after incubation with IFNγ and/or TNFα at 10 ng/mL. Total RNA was isolated from 2 × 106 cells using Qagen RNEasy Isolation Kit. Bulk RNA-seq was performed by Novogene using the Illumina NovaSeq6000 platform, 150-bp paired-end reads, at 18 to 34 million reads per sample. Reads were quantified using Salmon (v1.2.0; ref. 88) using the human transcriptome (GRCh38.p13, Ensembl release 99) as the reference. Transcript annotation was performed with txtema (v1.6.2; ref. 89) and differentially expressed genes were identified using DESeq2 (v1.28.1; ref. 90). The variance stabilizing transformation was applied prior to performing PCA with DESeq2. K-means clustering and heat maps were performed withheatmap (v1.0.12), and Venn diagrams were created with BioVenn.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis and PSCAN Analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed using GSEA software version 4.0.3 (Broad Institute). The “gene set” permutation type was used, and 1,000 permutations were performed. Gene collections were imported from the Molecular Signatures Database. PSCAN analysis was performed on gene promoters spanning –450 to +50 base pairs flanking the transcriptional start site (91).
Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software. Graphs represent individual values or group mean values ± SEM for in vitro and in vivo experiments. P values were calculated with the statistical test described in the corresponding figure legend. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and P values are denoted with asterisks as follows: *P < 0.05, not significant (ns); **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001.
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